



1401 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005-2148, USA 202/326-5800 www.ici.org

202/326-5815 FAX: 202/326-5812 kmcmillan@ici.org

By Electronic Delivery

June 11, 2010

The Honorable Michael Mundaca Assistant Secretary for Tax Policy U.S. Department of the Treasury 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20220

The Honorable William J. Wilkins Chief Counsel Internal Revenue Service 1111 Constitution Avenue NW Washington, DC 20224

RE: Guidance Priority List Recommendations on Retirement Security Issues

Dear Mr. Mundaca and Mr. Wilkins:

The Investment Company Institute¹ is pleased to submit recommendations regarding retirement security issues for projects to be included on the 2010-2011 Guidance Priority List. A separate ICI submission describes our recommendations regarding regulated investment companies.

I. Items from 2009-2010 Guidance Priority List

First, we request guidance, possibly in the form of a revenue ruling, regarding how to accomplish an effective 403(b) plan termination. In particular, there is significant confusion surrounding termination of 403(b) plans funded through individual custodial accounts. Effective plan termination depends on distribution of all accumulated benefits within a reasonable period of time. Individual custodial accounts, however, typically do not provide for distribution without the consent of the participant. Therefore, any participant who fails to request a cash distribution or rollover of his or her 403(b) account could jeopardize the effectiveness of the termination.

To resolve this issue, we recommend that guidance provide for at least two possible alternatives as valid means of plan termination: (1) taxation of any remaining balances in individual accounts after a

¹ The Investment Company Institute is the national association of U.S. investment companies, including mutual funds, closed-end funds, exchange-traded funds (ETFs), and unit investment trusts (UITs). ICI seeks to encourage adherence to high ethical standards, promote public understanding, and otherwise advance the interests of funds, their shareholders, directors, and advisers. Members of ICI manage total assets of \$11.97 trillion and serve almost 90 million shareholders.

² See ICI letter to W. Thomas Reeder, dated March 17, 2009; and ICI letter to W. Thomas Reeder, dated Nov. 12, 2008.

2010-2011 Guidance Priority List June 11, 2010 Page 2 of 4

specified period (e.g., one year) and (2) automatic rollover to an IRA after a specified period, to the extent permitted by the custodial agreement and plan. Guidance in this regard will facilitate any necessary amendments to custodial agreements to permit automatic rollovers to IRAs in connection with plan termination and would allow custodians to rely on an employer's direction that a plan is being terminated. The Institute has strongly urged that this guidance be published as soon as possible, given that some employers have begun the process of terminating their 403(b) plans pursuant to the 2007 final regulations issued under section 403(b).³

Second, we request finalization of the draft prototype program for 403(b) plans described in Announcement 2009-34 and sample plan language released concurrent with the Announcement. It is important to establish an opinion letter program for 403(b) prototype plans so that employers and plan service providers can have greater certainty in operating under the new 403(b) regulations and guidance. As we have recommended previously, certain changes should be made to the draft Revenue Procedure and sample plan language, including allowing the terms of individual agreements to govern as appropriate and, for other purposes, allowing individual agreements to incorporate plan provisions by reference. We also urge the Service to allow prototype 403(b) plans to use vesting schedules.

II. New 2010-2011 Guidance Priority List Items

The Institute requests that the Service add the following retirement security matters to the 2010-2011 Guidance Priority List. First, we request guidance on partial rollovers of plan distributions containing after-tax employee contributions. A sentence included in the updated model 402(f) notice published in September 2009 has created confusion regarding the allocation of after-tax basis and pretax amounts in a distribution that is partially rolled over to a plan or IRA. Under the prevailing view of practitioners and service providers, Code section 402(c)(2) provides that in a distribution containing after-tax amounts that is partially rolled over (directly or indirectly), pre-tax amounts are considered rolled-over first. In the view espoused in the model 402(f) notice, however, pre-tax amounts would be considered rolled-over first only in an indirect rollover; in a direct rollover, the amount rolled over would consist of a pro-rata share of basis and pre-tax amounts. We strongly urge the Service to issue guidance and a revised 402(f) notice confirming that the former view – pre-tax first – is correct. There is no statutory authority that compels the interpretation espoused in the 402(f) notice, and in the interests of sound tax policy, indirect rollovers should not be favored over direct rollovers. At a minimum, if the Service is unwilling to reverse the pro-rata interpretation implied by the 402(f) notice, we feel it is imperative to enforce the pro-rata rule for partial rollovers prospectively only. Due to the

³ 72 Fed. Reg. 41128 (July 26, 2007).

⁴ See ICI letter to Internal Revenue Service re: Announcement 2009-34, dated June 1, 2009.

⁵ A participant desiring to roll over the taxable part of a distribution to another plan or IRA, while receiving the non-taxable portion in cash or rolling it over into a separate vehicle, could do so via an indirect 60-day rollover, but ostensibly could not accomplish the same result via direct rollover under the Service's recent interpretation.

2010-2011 Guidance Priority List June 11, 2010 Page 3 of 4

multitude of past transactions thought to be properly executed under 402(c)(2), anything other than prospective enforcement would cause considerable disruption and challenges.

Second, we request that the Service finalize the proposed regulations implementing section 1102 of the Pension Protection Act, which instructed the Secretary of the Treasury to modify the regulations under section 411(a)(11) to require disclosure of the consequences of failing to defer receipt of a distribution from a defined contribution plan. We strongly recommend that the Service finalize the requirements as proposed. As we stated in our comment letter, the proposal strikes the right balance by alerting the participant that the plan may have investments, or fee structures, different from those obtainable in an IRA, and alerting the participant that more information is available. This approach will not overwhelm the participant with information that obscures the key information while also assuring the participant has access to information consequential to the decision whether to take or defer a distribution from the plan.

Third, we request guidance on the proper tax treatment of escheated amounts from retirement plans and IRAs. In 2004, the Department of Labor ("DOL") issued guidance regarding missing participants in terminating defined contribution plans. The DOL guidance requires that a plan administrator use certain search methods to locate a missing participant, and if all efforts to locate the missing participant fail, then the fiduciary should consider distributing the amounts to a federally insured bank account or escheating them to a state unclaimed property fund. The requested guidance should address certain federal tax implications of escheatment, including (1) whether Form 1099-R reporting is required, (2) whether payors should designate amounts as escheated and, if so, how payors should make such a designation, and (3) whether withholding is required. We have requested this guidance in prior years and we wish to reiterate its importance. We understand that several states have increased their efforts to collect unclaimed property in IRAs and other retirement plans.

Finally, we request guidance complementing the DOL's final regulations on the termination of abandoned plans. This guidance should implement language in the preamble to the DOL's regulations that the Service will not challenge the qualified status of any plan termination under the DOL's regulations or take any adverse action against a "qualified termination administrator" (the party that assumes responsibility for plan distributions and termination), the plan, or any participant or beneficiary of the plan as a result of the termination, provided that several conditions are met. The

⁶73 Fed. Reg. 59575 (Oct. 9, 2008).

⁷ See ICI letter to Internal Revenue Service re: proposed regulation (REG-107318-08), dated January 7, 2009.

⁸ U.S. Department of Labor, Employee Benefits Security Administration, Field Assistance Bulletin No. 2004-02, dated September 30, 2004.

⁹ 71 Fed. Reg. 20820 (April 21, 2006).

¹⁰ These conditions are as follows: (1) the qualified termination administrator reasonably determines whether, and to what extent, the survivor annuity requirements of sections 401(a)(11) and 417 apply to any benefit payable under the plan; (2) each participant and beneficiary must have a non-forfeitable right to the benefit as of the deemed termination date, subject

2010-2011 Guidance Priority List June 11, 2010 Page 4 of 4

guidance also should clarify how parties other than a participant can establish IRAs for abandoned plan accounts; under the DOL's regulations, IRAs for abandoned plan participants could be established by default — without the participant's involvement — in a manner similar to IRAs established under the automatic rollover rules of EGTRRA.

* * *

If we can provide you with any additional information regarding these issues, please do not hesitate to contact Elena Chism at 202/326-5821 or the undersigned at 202/326-5815.

Sincerely

Karrie McMillan General Counsel

cc: J. Mark Iwry

George H. Bostick